### **APPENDIX B**

**FIVE-YEAR REVIEW INSPECTION CHECK LISTS** 

# Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist (Template)

(Working document for site inspection. Information may be completed by hand and attached to the five-year review report as supporting documentation of site status. "N/A" refers to "not applicable.")

I. SITE INFO	DEMATION
I. SHE INFO	
Site name: Site 2 Area A Landfill	Date of inspection: April 10, 2001
Location and Region: New London County,	<b>EPA ID</b> : CTD980906515
Agency, office or company leading the five-year review: EPA Region I	Weather/temperature: Overcast, 50 degrees
institutional controls.  □ Inspection team roster attached □ Site map attached	
	Check all that apply)
1. O&M site manager Richard Conant Environme  Name  Interviewed at site at office by phone Phone Problems, suggestions: Report attached Better needed; O&M plan should be developed.	
2. O&M staff Name Title Interviewed □ at site □ at office □ by phone Photerostems, suggestions; □ Report attached	Date ne no

Contact K. Keckler RP	le Date	(617)918_1385 Phone no.
Problems: suggestions:   Report	attached None prov	vided during inspection
Agency CTDEP		
ContactMark Lewis RPM		<del>(860)424-3</del> 768 Phone no.
Name Tit	le Date	
Problems; suggestions;  Report	attached None provid	ded during inspection.
Agency		
Contact		Dhono no
Name Til		Phone no.
Problems: suggestions;   Report	attached	
Agency	<u></u>	
Contact		
Name Ti	ile Date	Phone no.
Problems; suggestions;   Report	attached	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other interviews (optional)   Re	eport attached.	
		<del></del>

	(OL AL Baket angle)
	III. ONSITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIFIED (Check all that apply)
1.	O&M Manual and As-Builts
2.	Site Specific Health and Safety Plan
3.	O&M and OSHA Training Records
4.	Permits and Service Agreements  Air discharge permit  Readily available  Up to date  N/A  Effluent discharge  Readily available  Up to date  N/A  Waste disposal, POTW Readily available  Up to date  N/A  Other permits  Readily available  Up to date  N/A  Remarks
5.	Gas Generation Records
6.	Settlement Monument Records
7.	Groundwater Monitoring Records Remarks Quarterly sampling ongoing at site.
8.	Leachate Extraction Records
9.	Discharge Compliance Records  Air Readily available Up to date N/A  Water (effluent) Readily available Up to date N/A  Remarks

		IV. O&M COST	s
1.		☐ Contractor for State ☐ Contractor for PRP	
2.	O&M Cost Records  Readily available Up t Funding mechanism/agree Original O&M cost estimate  Total ann	ment in place	☐ Breakdown attached period if available
	_	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	☐ Breakdown attached
	From To To	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	From To To	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	From ToTo	Total cost	
	From To Dates	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	From To Dates	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
3.	2000) A quarters.	Groundwater monit	ng Review Period  oring costs for 1 year (1999 - \$210,000.00. This cost lidation, and reporting.
	Whenever possible, act	V. GENERAL SITE CO	ONDITIONS If be documented with photographs.

В.	Site Access		
1.	Access restrictions, signs, other security measures    Location shown on map    N/A  Perimeter fencing, but gates left open and military personnel can access site. Signs posted at entrances limiting access to authorized users and instructing no one to dig at the site because of the presence of a cap.		
C.	Perimeter Roads		
1.	Roads damaged		
D.	General		
1.	Vandalism/trespassing   Location shown on site map   No vandalism evident  Remarks		
2.	Land use changes onsite N/A  Remarks No changes		
3.	Land use changes offsite DN/A  RemarksNo_changes.		
4.	Institutional controls (site conditions imply institutional controls not being enforced)    Institutional controls (site conditions imply institutional controls not being enforced)   Institutional controls   Institutional		
	Contact Name Title Date Phone no.  Problems; suggestions;  Report attached		
	VI. LANDFILL COVERS Applicable   Not applicable		
Α.	Landfill Surface		
1.	Settlement (Low spots) Depth  Remarks		
2.	Cracks   Location shown on site map   Cracking not evident   Depths		

3.	Erosion    Location shown on site map
4.	Holes Decause shown on site map  Holes not evident  Areal extent Sporadic Depth 4 inches  Remarks Holes due to equipment storage on asphalt.
5.	Vegetative Cover    Grass    Cover properly established    No signs of stress  Trees/Shrubs (indicate size and locations on a diagram)  Remarks    None of the landfill has a vegetative cover
6.	Alternative Cover (armored rock, concrete, etc.)   N/A  Remarks Asphalt cover shows some cracks and holes due to storage use.
7.	Bulges
8.	Wet Areas/Water Damage
9.	Slope Instability   Slides   Location shown on site map   No evidence of slope instability  Areal extent   Remarks
В.	Benches
1.	Flows Bypass Bench

2.	Bench Breached
3.	Bench Overtopped
C.	Letdown Channels   Applicable   Not applicable   (Channel lined with erosion control mats, riprap, grout bags, or gabions that descend down the steep side slope of the cover and will allow the runoff water collected by the benches to move off of the landfill cover without creating erosion gullies.)
1.	Settlement
2.	Material Degradation
3	Erosion
4.	Undercutting
5.	Obstructions Type   No obstructions    Location shown on site map   Areal extent  Size  Remarks
6.	Excessive Vegetative Growth  No evidence of excessive growth Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow Location shown on site map  Remarks

D.	Cover Penetrations Applicable   Not applicable		
1.	Gas Vents		
2.	Gas Monitoring Probes ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration ☐ N/A  Remarks		
3.	Monitoring Wells (within surface area of landfill) Properly secured/locked    Functioning A Routinely sampled   Good condition   Needs O&M    Evidence of leakage at penetration   N/A    Remarks Wells used for monitoring in good shape; other site wells in need of repair or abandonment. See photograph.		
4.	Leachate Extraction Wells   Properly secured/locked   Functioning   Routinely sampled   Good condition   Needs O&M   Evidence of leakage at penetration   Needs O&M   Remarks		
5.	Settlement Monuments D Located D Routinely surveyed N/A  Remarks No monuments were installed.		
E.	Gas Collection and Treatment		
1.	Gas Treatment Facilities    Flaring		
2.	☐ Flaring ☐ Thermal destruction ☐ Collection for reuse ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M		
	☐ Flaring ☐ Thermal destruction ☐ Collection for reuse ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M  Remarks ☐ Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping  Mit Good condition ☐ Needs O&M		

2.	Outlet Rock Inspected		
G.	Detention/Sedimentation Ponds		
1.	Siltation Areal extent Depth □ N/A □ Siltation not evident Remarks		
2.	Erosion Areal extent Depth  □ Erosion not evident  Remarks		
3.	Outlet Works		
4.	Dam		
H.	Retaining Walls   Applicable Not applicable		
1.	Deformations		
2.	Degradation □ Location shown on site map □ Degradation not evident  Remarks		
L	Perimeter Ditches/Off-Site Discharge Applicable		
1.	Siltation Location shown on site map. Siltation not evident  Areal extent Depth  Volume = 2 cy  Remarks Fines pushed over embankment from salt storage shed.  Silt/sand buildup at endwall of culvert for northern channel.		
2.	Vegetative Growth Location shown on site map   N/A   Vegetation does not impede flow   Areal extent Type   Remarks   Phragmites growing in channels		

3.	Erosion
4.	Discharge Structure   Functioning   Function
	VII. VERTICAL BARRIER WALLS   Applicable Not applicable
1.	Settlement
2.	Performance Monitoring Type of monitoring  Performance not monitored  Frequency Devidence of breaching  Remarks
. ,	VIII. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES
A.	Groundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines
1.	Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing, and Electrical  ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells located ☐ Needs O&M ☐ N/A  Remarks
<b>2.</b>	Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  Good condition Needs O&M  Remarks
В.	Surface Water Collection Structures, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable S.Not applicable

1.	Collection Structures, Pumps, and Electrical  Good condition. D Needs O&M  Remarks			
2.	Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  C.nd condition  Needs O&M  Remarks			
C.	Treatment System			
1.	Treatment Train (Check components that apply)    Metals removal			
2.	Electrical Enclosures and Panels (properly rated and functional)    N/A  Good condition    Needs O&M  Remarks			
3.	Tanks, Vaults, Storage Vessels □ N/A □ Good condition □ Proper secondary containment □ Needs O&M Remarks			
4.	Discharge Structure and Appurtenances			
5.	Treatment Building(s)			

6.	Monitoring Wells (pump and treatment remedy) ☐ Properly secured/locked. ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells located ☐ Needs O&M ☐ N/A		
D.	Monitored Natural A		
1.	Monitoring Wells (natural attenuation remedy)		☐ Properly secured/locked
	☐ Functioning ☐ Good condition Remarks	☐ Routinely sampled ☐ All required wells located	□ Needs O&M □ N/A

### IX. OTHER REMEDIES

If there are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing the physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil vapor extraction.

#### X. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS

#### A. Implementation of the Remedy

Describe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Begin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, minimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).

Based on the site inspection, the engineered cap is effect ve at eliminating direct exposure to the landfill contents.

The groundwater monitoring results will be reviewed to determine if it is effective in minimizing contaminant migration from the site. Gas vents appear to be functioning properly. Drainage channels installed upgradient of landfill are functioning, but not at full capacity.

#### B. Adequacy of O&M

Describe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of O&M procedures. In particular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy. The site inspection revealed that O&M is not bei completed at the site. An O&M plan should be written There is a siltation problem with and implemented. 1. the northern drainage channel and a vegetation problem. Small trees are beginning to grow in rip-rap along 2. Equipment is being improperly the toe of landfill. stored on top of the landfill cap, which may eventually Small depression noted on toe of landfill damage it. Appears that excessive surface water at south west end. runoff may pass through area which is causing erosion of

material under rip-rap.

C.	Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Failure
	Describe issues and observations such as unexpected changes in the cost or scope of O&M or a high frequency of unscheduled repairs, that suggest that the protectiveness of the remedy may be compromised in the future.
	Continued lack of O&M may jeapordize integrity of engineered cap. An O&M plan should be written
i	and implemented. The plan should discuss appropriate methods and materials that can be stored on the cap.
,	
D.	Opportunities for Optimization
	Describe possible opportunities for optimization in monitoring tasks or the operation of the remedy.
	Reduce monitoring frequency and parameter list when applicable.

# Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist (Template)

(Working document for site inspection. Information may be completed by hand and attached to the five-year review report as supporting documentation of site status. "N/A" refers to "not applicable.")

i. site info	RMATION
Site name: Site 6 - DRMO	Date of inspection: April 11, 2001
Location and Region: New London County, CT	EPA ID: CTD980906515
Agency, office or company leading the five-year review: EFA Region I	Weather/temperature: Sunny, Low 50 degrees
Remedy Includes (Check all that apply)  Landfill cover/containment  Groundwater pump and treatment  Surface water collection and treatment  Other Institutional controls,  Inspection team roster attached  Site map attack	
1. O&M site manager Richard Conant.  Name  Interviewed at site at office by phone Pho Problems, suggestions; Report attached Monito	7/11/01 Date ne no. (860) 694-5176
Name 1 title Interviewed □ at site □ at office □ by phone Pho Problems, suggestions; □ Report attached	ne no

Contact K. Keckler		<u>4/11/01</u> Date	<u>(617)918</u> -1385 <b>Phone no.</b>
Name	Title		end inspection.
Problems; suggestions:		171(7_1(1)	
Agency CTDEP			(000) 404, 2700
Contact Mark Lewis	RPM	4/11/01 Date	(860)424_3768 Phone no.
Name	Title		
Problems; suggestions;	Report attached	None provided	during inspection.
Agency			
Contact			Phases
Name	Tide	Date	Phone no.
Problems; suggestions; (	☐ Report attached		
Agency	<u>-</u>		
Agency			
Name	Title	Date	Phone no.
Problems; suggestions;	☐ Report attached		
	al) El Pasart attaci	hed.	
Other interviews (option	al)   Report attac	ucu.	
			<u></u>
			·

	III. ONSITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIFIED (Check all that apply)
	O&M Manual and As-Builts
	Site Specific Health and Safety Plan Readily available Up to date N/A Contingency plan/emergency response plan Readily available Up to date N/A Remarks Field personnel follow plan when conducting groundwater monitoring.
	O&M and OSHA Training Records
	Permits and Service Agreements  Air discharge permit   Readily available
	Gas Generation Records
	Settlement Monument Records
	Groundwater Monitoring Records (C) Readily available (C) Up to date   N/A  Remarks Ouarterly reports are issued by Navy.
	Leachate Extraction Records
•	Discharge Compliance Records    Air   Readily available   Up to date   N/A     Water (effluent)   Readily available   Up to date   N/A     Remarks

	□ Readily available □ Up to Remarks □ DRMO (Si	te 6) is a secure a	area. Visitors sign in
	and out.		
		IV. O&M COST	s
	O&M Organization		
	☐ State in-house	☐ Contractor for State	
	☐ PRP in-bouse	Contractor for PRP	
	□ Other		
	O&M Cost Records		
	☐ Readily available ☐ Up	to date	
	☐ Funding mechanism/agree Original O&M cost estimate	ement in place	☐ Breakdown attached
	•		
	Total an	nual cost by year for review	period if available
	From To		☐ Breakdown attached
	From To Dates	Total cost	
	FromTo		☐ Breakdown attached
	Dales	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	FromTo Dates	Total cost	Distriction Character
	FromTo		☐ Breakdown attached
	Dates	Total cost	mm 11had
	FromTo	Tarel cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	Dates	Total cost	
	Unanticipated or Unusual	v High O&M Costs Durit	ng Review Period
	_		TUMBLE CLEU AL DECE
	for aroundwater mo	nitoring for 1 year	(4 qual tels) have been
	approximately \$120	,000.00. This cost	includes field work, analysis
	data validation, a		
	Cata variations		
		and the contract of the contra	ANDITIONS
	1171ible 20	V. GENERAL SITE CO	be documented with photographs.
	Whenever possible, ac	tual site constitues of	
. I	Fencing		
	- :	cation shown on site map	If Gales secured □ N/A

	Site Access
	Access restrictions, signs, other security measures \( \textsquare \) Location shown on map \( \textsquare \) N/A \( \text{Remarks} \cdot \) Restrictions, signs and fence in place.
	Perimeter Roads
	Roads damaged
	General
	Vandalism/trespassing   Location shown on site map   K No vandalism evident  Remarks
	Land use changes onsite 15 N/A Remarks
	Land use changes offsite N/A  Remarks
	Institutional controls (site conditions imply institutional controls not being enforced)  Agency
	Contact Name Title Date Phone no.
	Problems: suggestions:   Report attached SOPA (Admin) New London  Instruction 509018 - Site use restrictions (October 2000).
	VL LANDFILL COVERS Applicable □ Not applicable
	Landfill Surface
	Settlement (Low spots) Location shown on site map
2.	Cracks   Location shown on site map   M Cracking not evident

3.	Erosion
4.	Holes Depth Holes not evident  Areal extent Depth Remarks
5.	Vegetative Cover □ Grass □ Cover properly established □ No signs of stress □ Trees/Shrubs (indicate size and locations on a diagram)  Remarks □ N/A
6.	Alternative Cover (armored rock, concrete, etc.) N/A  Remarks Asphalt covers clay cap.
7.	Bulges D Location shown on site map Bulges not evident  Areal extent Height  Remarks
8.	Wet Areas/Water Damage
9.	Slope Instability
В.	Benches
1.	Flows Bypass Bench

2.	Bench Breached □ Location shown on site map ■ N/A or okay  Remarks
3.	Bench Overtopped ☐ Location shown on site map
C.	Letdown Channels  Applicable  Not applicable  (Channel lined with erosion control mats, riprap, grout bags, or gabions that descend down the steep side slope of the cover and will allow the runoff water collected by the benches to move off of the landfill cover without creating erosion gullies.)
1.	Settlement
<del></del> 2.	Material Degradation    Location shown on site map  No evidence of degradation  Material type
3	Erosion
4.	Undercutting
5.	Obstructions Type   No obstructions   Location shown on site map   Areal extent  Size  Remarks
6.	Excessive Vegetative Growth  No evidence of excessive growth  Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow  Location shown on site map  Remarks

D.	Cover Penetrations Applicable   Not applicable
1.	Gas Vents ☐ Active ☐ Passive ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration ☐ N/A  Remarks
2.	Gas Monitoring Probes □ Properly secured/locked □ Functioning □ Routinely sampled □ Good condition □ Needs O&M □ Evidence of leakage at penetration □ N/A  Remarks
3.	Monitoring Wells (within surface area of landfill) Properly secured/locked  Functioning Routinely sampled Good condition Needs O&M  Evidence of leakage at penetration N/A  Remarks O & M on covers, protective casings, and and dedicated sampling equipment required.
4.	Leachate Extraction Wells ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration ☑ N/A  Remarks
5.	Settlement Monuments   Located  Routinely surveyed   N/A  Remarks
E.	Gas Collection and Treatment
1.	Gas Treatment Facilities    Flaring
2.	Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping  Good condition Needs O&M  Remarks
F.	Cover Drainage Layer
1.	Outlet Pipes Inspected
ļ	

2.	Outlet Rock Inspected
G.	Detention/Sedimentation Ponds   Applicable   Not applicable
1.	Siltation Areal extent Depth N/A  Siltation not evident  Remarks
2.	Erosion Areal extent Depth  □ Erosion not evident  Remarks
3.	Outlet Works
4.	Dam
н.	Retaining Walls   Applicable Not applicable
1.	Deformations
2	Degradation
1.	Perimeter Ditches/Off-Site Discharge
1.	Siltation
2.	Vegetative Growth

3.	Erosion
4.	Discharge Structure
	VII. VERTICAL BARRIER WALLS   Applicable Not applicable
1.	Settlement
2.	Performance Monitoring Type of monitoring  Performance not monitored Frequency Evidence of breaching  Remarks
	VIII. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES   Applicable Not applicable
A.	Groundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable  Not applicable
1.	Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing, and Electrical  ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells located ☐ Needs O&M ☐ N/A  Remarks
2.	Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  Good condition Needs O&M  Remarks
В.	Surface Water Collection Structures, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable Not applicable

1.	Collection Structures, Pumps, and Electrical  ☐ Good condition. ☐ Needs O&M  Remarks
2.	Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  □ C.od condition □ Needs O&M  Remarks
C.	Treatment System
1.	Treatment Train (Check components that apply)    Metals removal
2.	Electrical Enclosures and Panels (properly rated and functional)    N/A  Good condition    Needs O&M  Remarks
3.	Tanks, Vaults, Storage Vessels □ N/A □ Good condition □ Proper secondary containment □ Needs O&M Remarks
4.	Discharge Structure and Appurtenances
5.	Treatment Building(s)

Monitoring Wells (pump and treatment remedy) □ Properly secured/locked. □ Functioning □ Routinely sampled □ Good condition □ All required wells located □ Needs O&M □ N/A		
Remarks		
 Monitored Natural Attenuation		
	atural attenuation remedy)  Routinely sampled	☐ Properly secured/locked
☐ Functioning	☐ All required wells located	□ Needs O&M □ N/A
☐ Good condition  Remarks	•	

#### IX. OTHER REMEDIES

If there are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing the physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil vapor extraction.

#### X. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS

#### A. Implementation of the Remedy

Describe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Begin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, minimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).

The removal action and installation of a cap were effective in removing a significant amount of the source and eliminating exposure pathways to waste. The cap is also minimizing infiltration and contaminant migration. Groundwater monitoring is being conducted to verify its effectiveness. The results of the monitoring program will be discussed in the report.

#### B. Adequacy of O&M

Describe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of O&M procedures. In particular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy.

No O & M has been conducted at the site since the remedial action.

Monitoring wells and dedicated sampling equipment are in need of
maintenance and/or repair. An O & M plan for the site should
be developed and implemented to ensure long-term protectiveness
of the remedy

Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Failure
Describe issues and observations such as unexpected changes in the cost or scope of O&M or a high frequency of unscheduled repairs, that suggest that the protectiveness of the remedy may be compromised in the future.
None noted during inspection.
Opportunities for Optimization
Describe possible opportunities for optimization in monitoring tasks or the operation of the remedy.  When appropriate the monitoring program can be optimized by reducing the frequency of the sampling and analytical parameter list.

# Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist (Template)

(Working document for site inspection. Information may be completed by hand and attached to the five-year review report as supporting documentation of site status. "N/A" refers to "not applicable.")

i. SITE INFORMATION		
Site name: Site 8 - Goss Cove Landfi	Pate of inspection: April 10, 2001	
Location and Region: New London County, CT		
Agency. office or company leading the five-year review: EPA Region I Weather/temperature:  Overcast, 50 degrees		
Remedy Includes (Check all that apply)    Landfill cover/containment     Groundwater pump and treatment     Surface water collection and treatment     Other At the time of the site inspection, the landfill cover was currently being installed.    Inspection team roster attached   Site map attached     Inspection team roster attached   Site map attached		
1. O&M site manager Richard Conant  Name  Interviewed  at site  at office  by phone Phone Problems, suggestions;  Report attached  No problems	<u>4/10/01</u> Title Date  ne no. (860)694-5176	
2. O&M staff	Date ne no	

Date and None provided	Phone no. during inspection.
None provided	during inspection.
	(8 <u>60) 424</u> –3768
4/10/01 Date	Phone no.
	during inspection.
	Phone no.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
160	
<del></del>	
Date	Phone no.
ned ned	•
<del></del>	
mached.	
	Date  None provided  Date  Date

III. ONSITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIF	TED (Check all that apply)
O&M Manual and As-Builts	XX N/A
Site Specific Health and Safety Plan	e 🗆 Up to date 💆 N/A available 🗆 Up to date 💆 N/A
O&M and OSHA Training Records	e □ Up to date 💆 N/A
Permits and Service Agreements  Air discharge permit	up to date ya N/A te KZIN/A
Gas Generation Records	Up to date X N/A
Settlement Monument Records	
Groundwater Monitoring Records   Readily available Remarks	e □ Up to date ▼ N/A
Leachate Extraction Records	Up to date XN/A
Discharge Compliance Records  Air Readily available Up to date N/A Water (effluent) Readily available Up to date	<b>∜</b> N/A

		IV. O&M COST	S
•		☐ Contractor for State ☐ Contractor for PRP	
-	O&M Cost Records  ☐ Readily available ☐ Up ☐ Funding mechanism/agree Original O&M cost estimate	ement in place	☐ Breakdown attached
	Total and	nual cost by year for review	□ Breakdown attached
	Dates From To	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	Dates	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	From To To	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
	From ToTo Dates	Total cost	
	From To Dates	Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached
3.	Unanticipated or Unusually Describe costs and reasons:	y High O&M Costs Duri	ng Review Period
-	Whenever possible, ac	V. GENERAL SITE CO	NDITIONS I be documented with photographs.
<b>-</b>	Day and in good		
. A. I	i.ettend		☐ Gates secured ☐ N/A

<b>.</b>	Site Access
	Access restrictions, signs, other security measures \( \mathbb{X} \) Location shown on map \( \text{IN/A} \) Remarks \( \text{Fencing provides access restriction} \).
	Perimeter Roads
•	Roads damaged
).	General
	Vandalism/trespassing ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ No vandalism evident  Remarks
•	Land use changes onsite DN/A  Remarks Land currently used for museum.
	Land use changes offsite  N/A  Remarks
	Institutional controls (site conditions imply institutional controls not being enforced)  Agency
	Name Title Date Phone no.
	Problems: suggestions:   Report attached SOPA (Admin) New London Instruction 509018 - Site Use Restrictions (October 2000)
	VI. LANDFILL COVERS
•	Landfill Surface
•.	Settlement (Low spots)
 2.	Cracks

3.	Erosion
	Remark
4.	Holes
5.	Vegetative Cover □ Grass □ Cover properly established □ No signs of stress □ Trees/Shrubs (indicate size and locations on a diagram)  Remarks
6.	Alternative Cover (armored rock, concrete, etc.) N/A  Remarks
7.	Bulges
8.	Wet Areas/Water Damage
9.	Slope Instability
В.	Benches
1.	Flows Bypass Bench
1	

2.	Bench Breached
3.	Bench Overtopped
C.	Letdown Channels   Applicable   Not applicable   (Channel lined with erosion control mats, riprap, grout bags, or gabions that descend down the steep side slope of the cover and will allow the runoff water collected by the benches to move off of the landfill cover without creating erosion guillies.)
1.	Settlement
2.	Material Degradation
3.	Erosion
4.	Undercutting   Location shown on site map  Areal extent  Remarks
5.	Obstructions Type   No obstructions   Location shown on site map   Areal extent  Size  Remarks
6.	Excessive Vegetative Growth  No evidence of excessive growth Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow Location shown on site map  Remarks

	The state of the s
D.	Cover Penetrations   Applicable   Not applicable
1.	Gas Vents
2.	Gas Monitoring Probes    Properly secured/locked    Functioning    Routinely sampled    Good condition    Needs O&M    Evidence of leakage at penetration    N/A    Remarks
3.	Monitoring Wells (within surface area of landfill) ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration ☐ N/A Remarks
4.	Leachate Extraction Wells
5.	Settlement Monuments   Located   Routinely surveyed   N/A Remarks
E.	Gas Collection and Treatment
1.	Gas Treatment Facilities  ☐ Flaring ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs O&M  Remarks
2.	Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping Good condition Needs O&M Remarks
F.	Cover Drainage Layer
1.	Outlet Pipes Inspected
1	

2.	Outlet Rock Inspected	
G.	Detention/Sedimentation Ponds	
1.	Siltation Areal extent Depth N/A  Siltation not evident  Remarks	
2.	Erosion Areal extent Depth  □ Erosion not evident  Remarks	
3.	Outlet Works	
4.	Dam   Functioning   N/A   Remarks	
Н.	Retaining Walls	
ì.	Deformations	·
2	Degradation	
I.	Perimeter Ditches/Off-Site Discharge	
1.	Siltation	
2.	Vegetative Growth	

3.	Erosion
4.	Discharge Structure
	VII. VERTICAL BARRIER WALLS   Applicable   Not applicable
1.	Settlement
2.	Performance Monitoring Type of monitoring  □ Performance not monitored Frequency □ Evidence of breaching  Remarks
,	VIII. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES   Applicable   Not applicable
Α.	Groundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable  Not applicable
1.	Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing, and Electrical  ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells located ☐ Needs O&M ☐ N/A  Remarks
2.	Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  Good condition Needs O&M  Remarks
В.	Surface Water Collection Structures, Pumps, and Pipelines  Applicable  Not applicable

1.	Collection Structures, Pumps, and Electrical  Good condition. D Needs O&M  Remarks								
2.	Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  C.od condition  Needs O&M  Remarks								
C.	Treatment System								
1.	Treatment Train (Check components that apply)    Metals removal								
2.	Electrical Enclosures and Panels (properly rated and functional)    N/A  Good condition    Needs O&M  Remarks								
3.	Tanks, Vaults, Storage Vessels □ N/A □ Good condition □ Proper secondary containment □ Needs O&M Remarks								
4.	Discharge Structure and Appurtenances □ N/A □ Good condition □ Needs O&M Remarks								
5.	Treatment Building(s)								

Monitoring Wells (pump and treatment remedy) □ Properly secured/locked □ Functioning □ Routinely sampled □ Good condition □ All required wells located □ Needs O&M □ N/A								
Remarks								
 Monitored Natural Attenuation								
	atural attenuation remedy)	☐ Properly secured/locked						
Monitoring Wells (na  Functioning  Good condition	atural attenuation remedy)  ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ All required wells located	☐ Properly secured/locked☐ Needs O&M☐ N/A						

#### IX. OTHER REMEDIES

If there are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing the physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil vapor extraction.

### X. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS

#### A. Implementation of the Remedy

Describe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Begin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, minimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).

The engineered cap system is currently being installed and once complete will be effective at eliminating direct exposure to the waste. Cap operation and maintenance and land use controls will ensure effectiveness into the future. In addition, the cap should also minimize contaminant migration from the waste. A groundwater monitoring plan has been developed and will be implemented once construction of the cap is complete.

#### B. Adequacy of O&M

Describe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of O&M procedures. In particular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy.

The	USEP	A Rec	rion	Tai	าดั	CTDI	gp :	are	doing	rea	ılar.	inspe	ctions
_										_		-	
													<u>Their</u>
fin	dings	are	beir	ng re	<u> -1a</u>	<u>yed</u>	to	the	Vszsk	and	<u>it's</u>	RAC	<u>The</u>
	ues a		_						_				

C.	Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Failure
	Describe issues and observations such as unexpected changes in the cost or scope of O&M or a high frequency of unscheduled repairs, that suggest that the protectiveness of the remedy may be compromised in the future.
	Not Applicable
D.	Opportunities for Optimization
	Describe possible opportunities for optimization in monitoring tasks or the operation of the remedy.
	Not Applicable
ļ	MOC WONTICGOTE
	MOC ADDITIONE
	MOC WONTINGOTE
	MOC WONTINGOTE